

J Christopher Jorgensen
Nevada Bar No. 5382
Matthew R. Tsai
Nevada Bar No. 14290
LEWIS ROCA ROTHGERBER CHRISTIE LLP
3993 Howard Hughes Parkway, Suite 600
Las Vegas, NV 89169
Phone: (702) 949-8200
Email: cjorgensen@lrrc.com
Email: mtsai@lrrc.com

*Attorneys for Defendant
Barclays Bank of Delaware*

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

MATTHEW NELSON,

Plaintiff,

vs.

RAUSCH, STURM, ISRAEL, EMERSON &
HORNICK, LLP, BARCLAYS BANK OF
DELAWARE, EXPERIAN INFORMATION
SOLUTIONS, INC., EQUIFAX
INFORMATION SERVICES, INC., and
TRANSUNION, LLC

Defendants.

Case No. 2:19-cv-01792-APG-EJY

**JOINT MOTION AND ORDER FOR
EXTENSION OF TIME FOR
DEFENDANT BARCLAYS BANK
DELAWARE TO ANSWER OR
OTHERWISE RESPOND TO
COMPLAINT**

[THIRD REQUEST]

COMES NOW Defendant Barclays Bank Delaware (“Barclays”), and Plaintiff Matthew Nelson (“Plaintiff”), by counsel and pursuant to Local Rule IA 6-1, jointly move for an extension as follows:

STATEMENT OF JOINT MOTION

1. The Complaint in this action was filed on October 15, 2019 in the United States District Court for the District of Nevada captioned *Matthew Nelson v. Rausch*, 2:19-cv-01792-APG-EJY.

2. Barclays’ answer or other response to the Complaint was initially due on November 12, 2019.

3. At that time, Barclays had recently retained counsel in the above-captioned lawsuit and was in the process of reviewing the claims in Plaintiff’s Complaint and was

1 gathering documents, which required additional time.

2 4. On November 1, 2019, Barclays filed an Emergency Motion for Extension of
3 Time for Defendant Barclays Bank of Delaware to Answer or Otherwise Respond to
4 Complaint (“Motion”) (ECF No. 8), and this Court granted Barclay’s Motion (the “First
5 Request”), extending the time within which Barclays was to respond to the Complaint until
6 December 12, 2019. (ECF No. 15).

7 5. During the holidays and while the parties engaged in settlement discussions, the
8 parties agreed to jointly move to extend the deadline through and until January 9, 2020 (the
9 “Second Request”), which this Court granted. (ECF No. 24).

10 6. Now, further good cause exists to extend Barclays’ deadline to respond to the
11 Complaint by an additional fourteen (14) days. Namely, Barclays; defendant Rausch, Sturm,
12 Israel, Emerson & Hornik, LLP (“RS”); and Plaintiff have been engaging in settlement
13 discussions and are close to reaching an agreement that would resolve Plaintiff’s claims against
14 both RS and Barclays. The parties anticipate that a settlement agreement will be reached within
15 the next 14 days.

16 7. Counsel for Plaintiff and counsel for Barclays have agreed to extend the
17 deadline in which Defendant has to answer or otherwise respond to Plaintiff’s Complaint by an
18 additional fourteen (14) days, through and until January 23, 2020.

19 8. This joint motion is filed in good faith and not intended to cause delay and will
20 not prejudice any other party to the case.

21 ///

22 ///

23 ///

24 ///

25 ///

26 ///

27 ///

28 ///

